CITY OF EVANSTON

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT NO. 4 WASHINGTON NATIONAL TIF DISTRICT

ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE 10 MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING MARCH 1, 2011 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011

City of Evanston Tax Increment Financing District No. 4 Washington National TIF District Table of Contents

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
1.0	Name of Redevelopment Project Area and Contact Information	1
2.0	Redevelopment Project Information	3
Attachment A	Amendments to the Redevelopment Plan, the Redevelopment Project and/or Area Boundary	4
Attachment B	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the TIF Statute (the "Act") during the reporting Fiscal Year.	5
Attachment C	Opinion of legal counsel that the municipality is in compliance with the Act	7
Attachment D	Statement setting forth all activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan	9
Attachment E	Description of Agreements Regarding Property Disposition or Redevelopment	10
Attachment F	Additional Information on Uses of Funds Related to Achieving Objectives of the Redevelopment Plan	11
Attachment G	Information Regarding Contracts with TIF Consultants	12
Attachment H	Reports Submitted by Joint Review Board	13
Attachment I	Summary of any obligations issued by the municipality and official statements	14
Attachment J	Financial Analysis: TIF Obligations	15

SECTION	<u>TITLE</u> <u>PAGE</u>	
Attachments K and L	For special tax allocation funds that have experienced cumulative deposits of incremental tax revenues of \$100,000 or more, a certified audit report reviewing compliance with the Act.	16
Attachment M	Intergovernmental Agreements	17
3.1	Analysis of Special Tax Allocation Fund	18
3.2	Itemized List of Expenditures from Special Tax Allocation Fund	20
3.3	Special Tax Allocation Fund Balance (end of reporting period)	25
4.0	Property purchased by the municipality within the Redevelopment Project Area	27
5.0	Review of Public and Private Investment	29
6.0	Optional Sections	31
Exhibit A	Joint Review Board Meeting	
Exhibit B	Audit and Compliance Letter	

on 1.	Name of Redevelopment Project Area and Contact Information			
Refer to chart attached.				

and the second of the second o

ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER

Name of Municipality: City of Evanston

County: Cook

Basis of Accounting: Modified / Accrual

Unit Code:016/175/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2011 Fiscal Year End: 12/31/11

TIF Administrator/Contact Information:

First Name: Lyons Address:2100 Ridge Avenue

Telephone:847/328-2100

E-Mail:

Last Name: Martin Title: TIF Administrator

City: Evanston

Zip: 60201-2798

I attest to the best of my knowledge, this report of the redevelopment project areas in:

City of Evanston is complete and accurate at the end of this reporting fiscal year under 65 ILCS 5/11-74 et.

seq.

Written signature of TIF Administrator

Date

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

FIEL OUT ONLY ONCE (PER MUNICIPALITY), ADD ADDITIONA	L.ROWS AS NECESS	ARY
Name of Redevelopment Project Area	Date Designated	Date Terminated
Downtown II TIF District (Research Park) – TIF 1	1/28/85	10/12/09
Southwest TIF District TIF 2	6/25/90	
Howard Hartrey TIF District – TIF 3	4/27/92	
Washington National TIF District – TIF 4	9/94	
Howard and Ridge TIF District – TIF 5	1/26/04	
West Evanston – TIF 6	9/05	

^{*}All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for <u>each</u> redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:	Washington	
Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*:	Central Bus	. District
f "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types:	/abask sask	
Inder which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? [ax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law	(Check one):	
ax increment Anocation Redevelopment Act _ A industrial Jobs Recovery Law	<u> </u>	
	No	Yes
	1.0	, 00
Vere there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State	a.	
Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)]		
f yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A	x	
Dertification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with a		
of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.		
22 (d) (3)]		
Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B		Х
Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) an	d lesses in the second	
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]		
Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C		Х
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, includin	g	
any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [6	5	ļ
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)]		
If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D		X
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of		
any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [6	5	
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)]		
If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E	X	<u> </u>
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the	e	
municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) an	a	
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)]		,
If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F		X_
Did TIF advisors or consultants have enter into contracts with entities or persons receiving paymen	S	
financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) are	ia	1
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)]		
If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G	х	
Were there any reports submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (
	"	
(7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H	Х	
Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and	 	
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)]		
if yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment i	×	
Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation		†
and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8)	
(B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)]	- 1	
If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J	х	
Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation	on	1
fund? 65 iLCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2)		
If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund	l	
labeled Attachment K		X
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made in	to	
the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)]	1	
If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labele	ed .	
Attachment L		X
A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2010, to which the municipality is a part, and a	n	
accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to		
those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]		
If yes, please enclose a copy of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M	X	4

^{*} Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

Attachment A	Amendments to the Redevelopment Plan, the Redevelopment Project
•	and/or the Area Boundary

There were no amendments to the Redevelopment Plan or to the Redevelopment Project Area within the reporting 10 Month Period.

Attachment B	Certification of the Mayor of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the Act during the reporting 10 Month Period.			
•				
CITY OF EVA	NSTON WASHINGTON NATIONAL TIF DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT FOR 10 MONTH			

Re: Washington National TIF District

I, Elizabeth Tisdahl, the duly elected Mayor of the City of Evanston, County of Cook, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge, the City of Evanston complied with the requirements pertaining to the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act during the 10 Month Period beginning March 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2011.

Opinion of legal counsel that the municipality has complied with the Act.			

RE: Attorney Review City of Evanston Washington National TIF District

To Whom It May Concern:

This will confirm that I am the City Attorney for the City of Evanston, Illinois. I have reviewed all information provided to me by the City staff and consultants, and I find that the City of Evanston has conformed to all applicable requirements of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act set forth thereunder for the 10 Month Period beginning March 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2011 to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

Corporation Counsel

Attachment D Statement setting forth all activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan, including:

- A. Any project implemented during the reporting 10 Month Period; and
- B. A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.

The City also continued to review studies and/or projects related to area redevelopment in the reporting 10 Month Period including:

Marketing and professional fees Various capital/public improvements

N'a compose on	ts relating to prope	utvi dianasitias	, or redevalors	nant have heen	entered into by th
No agreemen City in the re	is relating to prope porting 10 Month I	rty disposition Period.	i or redevelopi	nent have been	oncica into by ar

Attachment F Additional Information on Uses of Funds Related to Achieving Objectives of the Redevelopment Plan The City continued to apply incremental taxes to outstanding obligations and capital improvements.

Attachment G Information Regarding Contracts with TIF Consultants.

The City utilized Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc. in order to prepare and analyze tax increment projections associated with the projects described in Section 2.4. Fees were based upon hourly rates for services rendered and did not include contingent payments. Kane McKenna did not enter into contracts with any entities that were party to City redevelopment agreements.

Attachment H	Reports Submitted by Joint Review Board.				
No reports were submitted by the Joint Review Board. The Board met on December 16 2011. Minutes of the meeting are attached as Exhibit A.					

CITY OF EVANSTON WASHINGTON NATIONAL TIF DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT FOR 10 MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING MARCH 1, 2011 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011

o new obligations were issued by the City in the reporting 10 Month Period.				
		•		

Attachment J Financial Analysis: TIF Obligations

The City continued to monitor the payment of existing obligations and reviewed the tax flows associated with new and proposed projects in order to determine coverage for proposed bond financings. Outstanding principal amounts, as well as future interest payments, associated with existing debt are summarized in Section 3.3 below.

Attachment K and L

For special tax allocation funds that have experienced cumulative deposits of incremental tax revenues of \$100,000 or more, a certified audit report reviewing compliance with the Act performed by an independent public accountant certified and licensed by the authority of the State of Illinois. The audit report shall contain a letter from the independent certified public accountant indicating compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3.

Relevant portions of the City's audit and the compliance letter are attached as Exhibit B.

Attachment M Intergovernmental Agreements

Refer	to table attached.			
	•			
		·		

SECTION 3.1 ~ (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

	Reporting Year Cumulative
Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period Includes Debt Service Fund and Capital Improvements Fund Province Comb Received To Province Fund Puring Reporting FY:	\$ 9,162,676
Includes Debt Service Fund and Capital Improvements Fund Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY:	

KeAeune/Casu Keceibra Deboarea in Lang panna vehorma			% of Total
Property Tax Increment	\$ 3,326,012	\$ 30,830,466	32%
State Sales Tax Increment			0%
Local Sales Tax Increment		\$ 158,121	0%
State Utility Tax Increment		 	0%
Local Utility Tax Increment			0%
Interest	\$ 6,708	\$ 2,807,960	3%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds		 	0%
Bond Proceeds		\$ 52,061,429	55%
Transfers from Municipal Sources		\$ 9,173,856	10%
Private Sources		 ······································	0%
Other (identify source; if multiple other sources, attach schedule)		\$ 5,347	0%

Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation Fund During Reporting Period	\$ 3,332,720
Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts	\$ 95,037,179 100%
Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2	3) \$ 4,301,048
Distribution of Surplus	\$ -
Total Expenditures/Disbursements	\$ 4,301,048
NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS	\$ (968,328)
FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD	\$ 8,194,348

⁻ if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

Section 3.2	Itemized List of Expenditures from Special Tax Allocation Fund
Refer	to tables attached.
•	
	ANSTON WASHINGTON NATIONAL TIF DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT FOR 10 MONTH FINNING MARCH 1, 2011 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011

SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))
ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND
(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED

Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]

Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1)			Fiscal Year
30363 01 01000007, W01711171000 00000	5 083	amini sinahanya	emakerile da kes
TIF administration Reimbursement to General Fund TIF Eligible Costs and Administration	325,000	ning di di di derri esta	
Reimbursement to General Fund Hir Eligible Costs and Administration		Constitution of the	en hen medernale.
TIF professional services and expenditures	108,129		
Capital improvement projects /marketing related	100,120		026.1944.115.T 1 2.22
		oran en	25050
		\$	438,21
		MANUFACTURE PROPERTY AND AND ASSESSMENT	CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6)	PROFICE STRUCKS IN THE SERVICE STRUCK	Seletere de la constant	
		CHEST COLUMN TO THE PARTY.	
	<u> </u>	er en de allemente de la company de la c	
		Baller Scene	
		a hosen on the	
		cinciplification control	
		\$	na managana managan
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs.		CPORMACH CO.	exacterior
		and the second	
		alga dyjeviji kiliki	
		Grad Sancton	
		\$	
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and replacement of existing public		ERENA HEA	
COSTS OF TETRASHINATION, TO CONTROL SECTION, TO SECTION SECTION, TO SECTION SE			
		MELLIN BURNES	
		PRINCIPAL PRINCI	
			elektronen bil
		Palminienser:	
		Burahisa Ka	hada sahiki ser
			incipation cultists
		\$	304445
Costs of construction of public works and Improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)	TANKA SELEKTI SELEKTI DARI DELEMENTE	duğu anının anı	
Costs of construction or public works and improvements. Subsection (4,747 and (6,767)	Street Copyrigation Copyrigatio	HERMOHISH AND	
		PERSONAL PROPERTY.	71 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (1
	3,419,636	014100111111111111	
Sherman Garage	3,410,000		
Capital improvements			
		- William Market and	
		THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	3,419,6
		ф	3,419,0
Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs			
		(autobie provincia)	anceranismit sp
		per la	
		\$	S.P., poly Appendix
. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7			
			erean agustibleit
		14.00 mg 19.00 mg	
			iguska ang ka
		NAME OF TAXABLE	aris signiyindigi
12-A-2-		\$	
		¥P	

Bond Payments and fiscal fees	443,200		
		4:25:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00	
		\$	443,200
Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)			
		esment en	
Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing	SERENCE EN SOMETHINE	\$ 	
Intergovernmental agreement payments			
		uguluniken kikeb	arangan pagan
			in albande (15 novi)).
1. Relocation costs. Subsection (g)(8) and (o)(10)			
	5702-9700-975-1		
12, Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11)	President de la contraction de		
		\$	
13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other			
15. Costs of OD training, retraining devalues a societies of Science Section 17.	177-001-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00		
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
444			
		\$	
 Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved 			
		GUITIVATIVATURA	

			unglesdinge vill
15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households.		185	
13. COSIS OF COTISH DEBITION OF HEW TROUGHTS WHIS TO HOW SHOOTHE GITS VERY IDVENTION OF TOUSER WHIS.	Carlo control of the state of t	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	
		noiser to commi	
		1 30	
16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - To			
	1	refrienden	

	CONTACTO	
	House in the second sec	
	\$	-
TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES	\$	4,301,048

Section 3.2 B

Below is listed all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the reporting fiscal year

Name Name	Service		Amount
	•		
Molla Forgo	Bond Payments	\$	141,628.00
Wells Fargo General Fund	TIF Reimbursements	\$	325,000.00
Sherman Garage Fund	TIF eligible costs/reimbursements	\$	3,419,636.00
EvMark	TIF eligible costs	\$	87,891.00
See # 1 P 1 left 1 2 h			
			
		 	
		 	
		 	
		 	
		1	
		 	
		1	······································

		_	
		_	
		1	

Refer	to table attached.			
Rolei	to table attached.			
				•

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (D) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5) (D))

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD

\$ 8,194,348

	i i	unt of Original		
	<u></u>	Issuance	Amou	nt Designated
1. Description of Debt Obligations				
General Obligation Series 2008B	\$	27,755,000	\$	13,705,000
Note: Series 2002A Bonds refunded in 2008				
General Obligation Series 2005	\$	13,060,000	\$	9,580,750
General Obligation Series 2008D	\$	2,628,099	\$	2,094,000
Note: Series 1997 Bonds refunded in 2008				
portion allocated to TIF included above				
Total Amount Designated for Obligations	\$	43,443,099	\$	25,379,750
Description of Project Costs to be Paid City TIF Reimbursements			\$	150,000
	575524 771528			
Total Amount Designated for Project Costs		edege iin mendere kana	\$	150,000
TOTAL AMOUNT DESIGNATED			\$	25,529,750
SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)			\$	(17,335,402)

^{*} NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing

- **Section 4.0** A description of all property purchased by the municipality within the Redevelopment Project Area including:
 - A. Street Address
 - B. Approximate size or description of property
 - C. Purchase Price
 - D. Seller of property

Refer to table attached.

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

Property Acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

Property (1):	
Street address	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (2):	
Street address	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (3):	
Street address	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (4):	
Street address	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	

Section 5.0	Review of Public and Private Investment.
	Refer to table attached.

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G) Please include a brief description of each project.

X No Projects Were Undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

		Estimated	
		Investment for	
		Subsequent Fiscal	Total Estimated to
	11/1/99 to Date	Year	Complete Project
TOTAL:	7		
1 100			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ -	\$ <u>-</u>	\$
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 1:	·]		
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	. 0		0
Project 2:	7		
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 3:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 4:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			<u> </u>
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 5:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 6:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 7:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

SECTION 6

Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

Year redevelopment

project area was		Reporting Fiscal Year
designated	Base EAV	EAV
1994		\$ 100,821,184

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

__X_ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

Overlapping Taxing District	Surplus Distributed from redevelopment project area to overlapping districts	
	\$	
	\$.	
	\$	
**************************************	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	1\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	

SECTION 7

Provide information about job creation and retention

Number of Jobs Retained	Number of Jobs Created	Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent) of Jobs	Total Salaries Paid
		*	\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$

SECTION 8

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

Optional Documents	Enclosed	
Legal description of redevelopment project area		
Map of District		

EXHIBIT A

TIF Joint Review Board Meeting Minutes December 16, 2011

Attendees:

Board Members:

Mary Brown- School District 65, Larry Kaufman – Howard Street, Valerie Kretchmer – Evanston Resident and VSK Associates, Pat Vance – Evanston Township, Marty Norkett - Resident, Dr. Sandy Martell – Cook County HHS, Mary Rodino – School District 202, Ald. Mark Tendam – City of Evanston

Board Members

Ann Laurence – Oakton College, Brian Rosinski – Ridgeville Park District, Toni Preckwinkle – Cook County, Terrance

Absent

O'Brien - Metropolitan Water Reclamation District

City Staff:

Steve Griffin, Nancy Radzevich, Johanna Nyden, Paul

Zalmezak, Martin Lyons, Jim Furey

Others in Attendance:

Bob Rychlicki – Kane McKenna, Carolyn Dellutri – Downtown Evanston, Karen Berkowitz – Pioneer Press, Bill Smith – Evanston Now, Michio Murakishi – MEECH Group, Ald. Delores Holmes – City of Evanston, Ald. Ann Rainey – City of Evanston

- I. Call to Order / Declaration of Quorum by Ald. Mark Tendam, Chair A quorum being present, Chair Mark Tendam called the meeting to order at 9:15 a.m.
- II. Consideration of JRB Meeting Minutes from December 16, 2010

 Mary Brown of District 65 moved to approve the December 16, 2010 minutes, seconded by at-large member, Valerie Kretchmer.

The minutes were approved unanimously, 8-0.

III. Review of Annual Reports for Fiscal Year March 1, 2010 – February 28, 2011 A. Southwest TIF District 2

Nancy Radzevich introduced TIF #2 (Southwest TIF) and explained that it was formed on June 25, 1990 and is scheduled to expire in 2013. This TIF encompasses Sam's Club and Ward Manufacturing. In 2010 City Council approved an agreement with Ward Manufacturing allowing them to rehab their building and expand their manufacturing operation. Due to City assistance through TIF, Ward Manufacturing was able to stay in Evanston and able to add jobs as they have expanded their metal stamping operation. Sewer infrastructure projects are planned for this area in 2012.

Marty Lyons provided an executive summary to the TIF Joint Review Board detailing what has happened with the revenues, and therefore assessed property values for each of the City's TIF Districts. Mr. Lyons referred the Board to pages 19, 26, and 31

of TIF #2's annual report. Discussion ensued on the beginning and ending balances of the fund, what future projects the remaining funds will be used for, debt obligations, and changes in Equalized Assessed Values (EAVs) over the life of the Southwest TIF.

Alderman Rainey asked Mr. Lyons which properties are included in TIF District #2 and which properties are not and why some were originally excluded from the district. Mr. Lyons introduced Robert Rychlicki, the City's TIF consultant, who explained why some properties were included in the TIF District and others were not.

Member-At-Large Valerie Kretchmer asked if the City can find out how much the City spent on a particular TIF District project and what the City is getting in return. Mr. Rychlicki responded that the City can compare growth in EAV's. Mr. Lyons explained we can also show jobs created. Mr. Rychlicki went on to explain that the property values in the areas immediately surrounding a TIF District often rise as a result of increased EAVs within a TIF District. Mr. Lyons suggested the City look at growth in an area prior to the establishment of a TIF District and compare that rate to growth in the same area after a TIF is formed. Mr. Lyons described the neighborhood improvement fund as it related to Home Depot. Ald. Rainey added that the City only spends increment funds generated from a particular TIF within that same TIF and explained that the City does not use any general funds for a TIF project.

B. Howard-Hartrey TIF District 3

Ms. Radzevich introduced TIF District #3 (Howard-Hartrey). This TIF District was created on April 27th, 1992 and will expire in 2015.

Mr. Lyons referred the Board to pages 19, 26, and 31 of the TIF #3 Annual Report document. Mr. Lyons described the fund balance, debt obligations, project costs, capital improvements, surplus information, EAV growth, and the benefit of increased sales tax from this TIF.

Alderman Rainey asked Mr. Lyons to include sales tax figures from the previous year in next year's report. Mary Rodino asked what was on the site prior to the creation of the TIF. Ald. Rainey explained that the site previously had industrial buildings on it. Marty Norkett asked for clarification on outlot redevelopment. Johanna Nyden explained Target's outlot policy and what their future plans for them are. Ald. Rainey stated her desire to see more TIF funds allocated to Police and Fire services for this particular TIF district.

C. Washington-National TIF District 4

Ms. Radzevich introduced TIF District #4 (Washington-National) and explained that it was created on September 15th, 1994 and was amended and expanded in 1999. For fiscal year 2012, \$500,000 is being set aside as a place holder for yet to be determined development projects. The TIF District is set to expire in 2017.

Mr. Lyons explained how the Downtown TIF aided the Washington National TIF maintain its value from 2009 to 2011. Mr. Lyons explained that the major expense for the debt service for the Sherman Avenue parking garage. Mr. Lyons referred board members to pages 19, 26, and 31 and discussed fund balances, debt information, EAVs, and future goals for the TIF.

Ms. Kretchmer asked if the revenues from the Sherman parking garage pay down the garage's debt. Mr. Lyons explained that the revenues from the garage go into the Parking Fund and pay to maintain the garage. The TIF transfers money to the parking fund and the parking fund then makes the payments on debt for the garage. Money from the Sherman parking garage cannot go to parking garages in other areas outside of the TIF District.

D, Howard-Ridge TIF District 5

Ms. Radzevich introduced TIF District #5 (Howard-Ridge) and explained that it was created on January 26, 2004. She described how the City acquired a series of properties within the last year through the use of TIF Funds including 623-627 ½ Howard Street, 629-631 Howard Street, and 727-729 Howard Street. Ms. Radzevich explained that the City Council recently approved a \$900,000 Reimbursement Resolution for Fiscal Year 2012 for capital improvement projects on the buildings the City acquired.

Mr. Lyons explained how the City is using a different strategy for this TIF than for others and described the 415 Howard Street building and different financing strategies. Mr. Lyons then referred the Board to pages 19, 26, and 31of the Howard Ridge TIF Annual Report document and described fund balances, debt obligations, \$900,000 in future project costs for the district, and EAVs.

E. West Evanston TIF District 6

Ms. Radzevich introduced TIF District #6 (West Evanston) and explained that it was created in September of 2005, is very large in area, and unique in shape. The District encompasses a lot of manufacturing properties and crosses two different Wards within the City. Ms. Radzevich described LSL Industries and the \$50,000 incentive they received in the 2010-2011 period. Ms. Radzevich explained how the City acquired two properties at 1708 and 1710 Darrow Street. For 2012, the City has proposed a series of infrastructure and economic development projects.

Mr. Lyons detailed the young age of TIF District 6 and the \$2.2 million in capital improvement work for this TIF. He went on to explain the unique business make up of the district and the fact that it contains industrial, commercial, and residential uses within it. Mr. Lyons referred the Board to pages 19, 26, and 31 of the TIF District #6 Annual Report and described fund balances, future debt service on projects, and bond information.

Alderman Rainey mentioned the \$2,000,000 approved for the West Evanston TIF by the City Council earlier in December and that specific projects have been assigned. Ms Radzevich added that by issuing bonds, it allows the City to have cash on hand to use on smaller economic development projects. The City can also borrow off of future increment.

Alderman Holmes asked Mr. Lyons on the possibility of dividing the TIF along Ward lines. Mr. Lyons explained that the City has had an internal discussion on this idea and mentioned how dividing the TIF would require the City to go through all of the necessary legal steps. Mr. Lyons described separate TIFs would be divided along the divergent needs of commercial and industrial uses in the existing TIFs. Mr. Rychlicki stated his

recommendation that the City work within the existing TIF to avoid the costly legal aspects of dividing the TIF up.

Alderman Rainey acknowledged the City does a sales tax rebate within the Evanston Plaza shopping center at the southwest corner of Dodge Avenue and Dempster Street. This property is not within the TIF District however. Mr. Lyons described other economic development efforts and tools the City of Evanston utilizes other than TIF.

Ms. Rodino asked why the Dominick's Center wasn't included in the West Evanston TIF. Mr. Rychlicki explained that there would have been no economic development benefit by including the center within the TIF at the time it was created. Alderman Holmes explained that the center was full and doing well at the time the TIF was formed.

Ms. Kretchmer asked if the TIF funds would be used for the residential Emerson Square project. Mr. Lyons explained that \$600,000 in funds would be used for the project. Steve Griffin explained the amount for Emerson Square was lowered from \$1,000,000 to \$600,000.

IV. Board Discussion

Chair Alderman Tendam thanked the City of Evanston staff for putting the presentation and packets together for the TIF Joint Review Board meeting.

V. Adjournment

Ms. Kretchmer made a motion to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Norkett. The meeting was adjourned at 10:28a.m.

The next meeting will be held on	Control of the second s
Respectfully submitted,	
Jim Furey	et .

EXHIBIT B

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FOR THE TEN MONTHS ENDED December 31, 2011

Prepared by Administrative Services Department

GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Special Revenue Funds

Special revenue funds are used to account for specific revenues that are legally restricted to expenditures for particular purposes.

City Funds

Motor Fuel Tax - to account for the operation of street maintenance programs and capital projects as authorized by the Illinois Department of Transportation. Financing is provided from the City's share of gasoline taxes.

Economic Development - to account for cost associated with economic development activities of the City. Financing is provided primarily by Hotel Tax revenues.

Emergency Telephone System - to account for revenues and expenditures for 911 emergency telephone service. Financing is provided by network connection surcharges.

Library Endowment - to account for the activity of the funds donated to the library. These funds are invested at the direction of the library board and are used for library acquisitions.

Neighborhood Improvement - to account for a portion of the sales tax revenues derived from retail sales of the Home Depot U.S.A. Inc. store in Evanston. Sales tax revenues allocated to this fund are to be expended on public projects that will benefit the immediate neighborhood of the store.

Affordable Housing - to account for costs associated with housing-related programs of the City.

HOME - to account for the activity of the HOME program. Financing is provided by the federal government. Expenditures are made in accordance with the requirements of federal law.

Community Development Block Grant - to account for revenues and expenditures of the community block grant program. Financing is provided by the federal government on a reimbursement basis in accordance with federal formula. Expenditures are made in accordance with requirements of federal law.

Community Development Loan - to account for residential rehabilitation loans to residents.

Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 - funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 to stabilize neighborhoods that have been negatively impacted by high numbers of foreclosed and vacant homes.

Special Service District No. 4 - to account for promotion, advertisement, and street maintenance costs of the area located in the City's central business district. Financing is provided by the City through an annual property tax levy.

Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) - funded by American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 to provide financial assistance and services to either prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless or help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly rehoused and stabilized.

Special Revenue Funds - Continued

Township Funds

Town - to account for general administrative services.

General Assistance - to account for the assistance given to persons and/or families to meet their basic living expenses.

Debt Service Funds

Debt Service funds are used to account for the servicing of general long-term debt.

Special Service District No. 5 - accumulated monies for the principal and interest payments on unlimited ad valorem tax bonds issued for this special taxing district.

Southwest Tax Increment District - accumulated monies for the principal and interest payments on debt issued for this special taxing district.

Howard Hartrey Tax Increment District - accumulated monies for the principal and interest payments on debt issued for this special taxing district.

Washington National Tax Increment District - accumulated monies for the principal and interest payments on debt issued for this special taxing district.

Howard Ridge Tax Increment District - accumulated monies for the payments on redevelopment project for this special taxing district.

West Evanston Tax Increment District - accumulated monies for the payments on redevelopment project for this special taxing district.

Capital Projects Funds

Capital projects are used to account for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities other than those financed by proprietary funds and trust funds.

Special Assessment - to account for capital improvements financed by special assessments on property holder and public benefit contributions from the City.

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet December 31, 2011

					pe	cial Revenu	2			····
Anneta		Motor el Tax		conomic velopment		mergency elephone System		Library adowment		ghborhood provement
Assets										
Cash and equivalents	\$ 1	,043,574	\$	1,960,455	\$	1,261,007	\$	439,220	\$	109,915
Investments		-		-				2,594,797		-
Receivables										
Property taxes (net of allowance)										
Current year levy		-		-		-				
Notes		-		-				-		-
Allowance		-		_		-		-		-
Special assessments				-		-		-		-
Accrued interest		-		-				9,435		-
Other		_		391,816		164,570		-		
Property held for resale		-						_		
Prepaid items						-		_		_
Due from other governments		156,670		_		_		-		
Due from other funds		-		_						
Total Assets	\$,200,244	\$	2,352,271	\$	1,425,577	\$	3,043,452	S	109,91
Liabilities and Fund Balances								***************************************		
Liabilities										
Vouchers payable	\$	_	\$	83,039	\$	98,950	\$	leed.	\$	
Due to other governments	*	-	т.	,	•		4	_	Ψ	
Due to other funds				81,623		15,869		_		_
Deferred revenues		ju-		0.,020		13,002				-
			******	164660		314010		······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Liabilities				164,662		114,819				*
Fund Balances										
Nonspendable		-		-		-		-		-
Restricted										
Highway maintenance		1,200,244		-		•		_		-
Emergency telephone system		-		**		1,310,758		-		-
Library projects		-				-		3,043,452		-
HUD approved projects				-		-				
Neighborhood improvements		_		_		-		-		109,91
Economic Development		-		-				-		
Debt service		_				_		-		~
Township		_		**		_				
Committed	•			805,575				-		
Assigned				1,382,034						
Unassigned			*****	-,,-,-,-,					······································	*
Total Fund Balances (Deficit)		1,200,244		2,187,609	-	1,310,758		3,043,452		109,91

							Special Re	venu	e				 			
Affordable Housing						Dev	nmunity elopment ck Grant		ommunity relopment Loan	Stal	chborhood bilization ogram 2	Special Service District No. 4	Н	PRP	 Total City Funds	
5	557,524	\$	14,246	\$	58,905 "	\$	53,521	\$	3,222	\$ 10,091	\$	-	\$ 5,511,680 2,594,797			
	1,444,162		- 2,670,863 -		24,799 (12,000)		2,054,421 (78,000)		-	403,472 - -		**	403,472 6,194,245 (90,000)			
	246,831		-		410,000		- - -			-		-	9,435 803,217 410,000			
	10,000		147,707		359,614 78			·•	726,390	- -			 1,390,381 10,078			
\$	2,258,517	\$	2,832,816	\$	841,396	\$	2,029,942	\$	729,612	\$ 413,563	\$	*	\$ 17,237,305			
\$	- - -	\$	112,195	\$	364,817 53,780	\$	26,386 260 1,216	\$	722,926 3,222 3,464	\$ 100,000 374,594	\$	Top See	\$ 1,408,313 3,482 255,955 374,594			
_	· ·		112,198		418,597		27,862		729,612	474,594	*****		 2,042,344			
	-		· •		**		-		-	-		-	-			
	** ~		 -		# - -		- -		-	-		-	1,200,244 1,310,758 3,043,452			
	2,258,517		2,720,618		422,799 -		2,002,080		-	w **		-	5,145,49° 2,368,43°			
	-		- -		-		-			-		-	805,57			
	-	.,	-		**		•			(61,031)	 .	-	 1,382,03- (61,03			
	2,258,517		2,720,618		422,799		2,002,080		w	(61,031)			 15,194,96			
\$	2,258,517	\$	2,832,816	\$	841,396	\$	2,029,942	\$	729,612	\$ 413,563	\$	-	\$ 17,237,30			

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet - Continued December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 for City and Township respectively

•		Special	Revenue	
	Town	General Assistance	Total Township Funds	Total Special Revenue
Assets				
Cash and equivalents	\$ 297,152	\$ 1,216,667	\$ 1,513,819	\$ 7,025,499
Investments	-	-	-	2,594,797
Receivables				
Property taxes (net of allowance)				
Current year levy	241,659	814,717	1,056,376	1,459,848
Notes	•-	_	**	6,194,245
Allowance		-	•	(90,000)
Special assessments		**	-	-
Accrued interest	_	-	-	9,435
Other	11,926	1,368	13,294	816,511
Property held for resale		· •	-	410,000
Prepaid items	***	_		-
Due from other governments	-	~	_	1,390,381
Due from other funds		14,689	14,689	24,767
Due from other railes			***************************************	
Total Assets	\$ 550,737	\$ 2,047,441	\$ 2,598,178	\$ 19,835,483
Liabilities and Fund Balances				
Lizbilities				
Vouchers payable	\$ 98,531	\$ 42,204	\$ 140,735	\$ 1,549,048
Due to other governments	-	-	₩	3,482
Due to other funds	14,689	,,,	14,689	270,644
Deferred revenues	134,688	472,218	606,906	981,500
Total Liabilities	247,908	514,422	762,330	2,804,674
Fund Balances				
Nonspendable		-	_	_
Restricted				
Highway maintenance	_		_	1,200,24
	_	_		1,310,75
Emergency telephone system	-		,	3,043,45
Library projects	-	•	· ·	5,145,49
HUD approved projects	-	-	~	
Neighborhood improvements	-	-	_	2,368,43
Economic Development	-	•	-	•
Debt service	. 202 626	1 522 010	1 025 040	1 02 5 0 4
Township	302,829	1,533,019	1,835,848	1,835,84
Committed	-	-		805,57
Assigned	~	-	**	1,382,03
Unassigned		b-	-	(61,03
Total Fund Balances (Deficit)	302,829	1,533,019	1,835,848	17,030,80
		\$ 2,047,441	\$ 2,598,178	\$ 19,835,48

					Debt Service						
h			Howard		ashington		Howard		West		
	ecial	Southwest	Hartrey		National		Ridge	E	vanston		**** (3
	ervice	Tax	Tax	Υ.	Tax ncrement	۲.	Tax ncrement	т.	Tax screment		Total Debt
	District Increment No.5 District		Increment District	ı	District		District		District		Service
<u>1</u>	No.5 District		1/1911101		T\19010F		27150101		101011111		Delate
\$	15,660	\$ 8,119	\$ 4,900,873	\$	7,802,957	\$	968,447	\$	933,885	\$	14,629,941
Þ	15,000	Ф 0,119	J 4,500,673	Ð	7,002,727	φ	700, 11 7	J	700,000	Ψ	17,025,541
,	128,756	451,421	1,212,637		5,077,823		644,407		484,794		8,299,838
•	-	- -451*451	1,212,41		.,011,02J 		-		-		0,277,636 +
	-		-		. .		-		-		•
	-	-	•		-		**		-		-
	-	-	•		•				-		•
		-	-		- -		ū				-
;	378,853	-	_		-		_				378,853
	-	•	-		**		-		-		-
	-	*	100,000				130,000		490,000		720,000
\$	823,269	\$ 459,540	\$ 6,213,510	\$	12,880,780	\$	1,742,854	\$	1,908,679	\$	24,028,632
\$	••	\$ -	\$ ~	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	7,184	\$	3,000	\$	10,184
	-	130,000	-		190,000		-		-		320,000
	375,100	443,623	1,212,637		4,496,432		634,917		410,086		7,572,795
	375,100	573,623	1,212,637		4,686,432		642,101	·	413,086		7,902,979
	378,853	-	-		***		-		•		378,853
	-	-	-		***		-		-		
	-	+	-		-		_				-
	•	-			-		-		•		•
	h	-	ы		•		-		•		•
	-	**	2,841 , 573		6,100,349		1,100,753		1,495,593		11,538,268
	69,316	_	2,159,300		2,093,999		-,,.		-1.2-1-20		4,322,615
	-	-					-		•		•
	-	-	-		•		-		-		*
	-	(114,083			-		~		-		(114,083)
		1 メスポックロシ							······································		(**-22002)
	AAR 160		\ \$ 000 8 72		8 104 749		1 100 753		1 495 502		16 125 652
,	448,169 823,269	(114,083	5,000,873 \$ 6,213,510	***********	8,194,348 12,880,780		1,100,753 1,742,854	-	1,495,593		16,125,653 24,028,632

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Balance Sheet - Continued December 31, 2011

	Cracial	Total
	Special	
	Assessment	Nonmajor
	Capital	Governmental
	Projects	Funds
Assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 3,120,741	\$ 24,776,181
Investments	Ψ 5,120,141	2,594,797
Receivables		2,554,757
Property taxes (net of allowance)		0.760.606
Current year levy	-	9,759,686
Notes	-	6,194,245
Allowance	2022 00 A	(90,000)
Special assessments	737,084	737,084
Accrued interest	-	9,435
Other	-	816,511
Property held for resale	-	410,000
Prepaid items	*	378,853
Due from other governments	•	1,390,381
Due from other funds	13,910	758,677
Total Assets	\$ 3,871,735	\$ 47,735,850
Liabilities and Fund Balances		
Liabilities and Fund Balances		
Liabilities		
Vouchers payable	\$ 157,996	\$ 1,717,228
Due to other governments		3,482
Due to other funds	31,766	622,410
Deferred revenues	737,084	9,291,379
	12.77.	
Total Liabilities	926,846	11,634,499
Fund Balances		
Nonspendable	•	378,853
Restricted		
Highway maintenance	w	1,200,244
Emergency telephone system	•	1,310,758
Library projects	-	3,043,452
HUD approved projects		5,145,497
Neighborhood improvements		2,368,432
Economic Development	•	11,538,268
Debt service	*	4,322,615
Township	-	1,835,848
Committed	-	805,575
Assigned	2,944,889	4,326,923
Unassigned		(175,114)
moved more dimensional final and an area of the official and an area of the official and area of	0.044.000	26101 241
Total Fund Balances (Deficit)	2,944,889	36,101,351
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$ 3,871,735	\$ 47,735,850
		3 ********

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances For the Ten Months ended December 31,2011

			Special I	₹eve	enue				
	Motor Fuel Tax		conomic velopment	T	mergency 'elephone System		Library dowment		hborhood rovement
Revenues		45	1 (00 000	m	00r 400			•	00.000
Taxes	\$ -	\$	1,682,505	\$	895,430	\$	**	\$	20,000
Special assessments			•		•		-		-
Intergovernmental	2,195,972				-		-		~
Investment income	615		179		296		(28,626)		-
SSI reimbursement	-				J		-		-
Medical reimbursement	-				-		**		***
Miscellaneous	w		-				31,000		
Total Revenues	2,196,587		1,682,684		895,726		2,374		20,000
Expenditures									
Current									
General management and support	_				_		_		
Public safety	_				973,428				
Public works	980,941				J 10,-120				
Health and human resource development	200,241		_		_				-
Recreation and cultural opportunities	- -		<u>.</u>		-		111,000		-
Housing and economic development			1,532,151				111,000		•
Debt service	•		1,000,000,101		•		•		-
Principal Interest	•		•		•		-		-
	•		-		-		-		•
Capital outlay	980.941		1,532,151		973,428		111,000		
Total Expenditures	900,541	~~~~	1,222,123		773,420		111,000		*
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	1,215,646		150,533		(77,702)		(108,626)		20,000
Other Financing Sources (Uses)	2			~					
Transfers in (out)	•								
General	(697,492)	}	(377,256)		_		-		
General Obligation Debt Service	, ,	,	(8,870)		(6,370)				_
Motor Vehicle Parking System	•		,-v-,-v		ζ- <i>γ</i>		-		
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(697,492))	(386,126)		(6,370)	·····	-		*
Net Change in Fund Balances	518,154		(235,593)		(84,072)		(108,626)		20,000
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Beginning	682,090		2,423,202		1,394,830		3,152,078		89,915
Little restrances (exerient) . Definiting	002,090		سام المناسور سامة "وساء		1,55t,030		J,1J2,0/0		07,713
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Ending	\$ 1,200,244	- \$	2,187,609	\$	1,310,758	\$	3,043,452	\$	109.915

					Spec	al Revenue			·····			
Affordable Housing			номе	Community Development Block Grant		ommunity velopment Loan	St	ighborhood abilization rogram 2	Special Service District No. 4		HPRP	 Total City Funds
	-	\$		\$ -	\$	· .	\$	**	\$ 214,614	\$		\$ 2,812,549
	-		175 050	1 054 355		4,800		5,409,752	•		195,943	0.736.300
	245		175,068	1,754,755		1,018		3,409,732	30		190,943	9,736,290
	245		6,030	-		1,010		*	-		-	(20,213)
	-		_	_		-		-			_	-
	33,357			5,302		-		-	_		**	69,659
*********	33,602		181,098	1,760,057		5,818		5,409,752	214,644	*********	195,943	 12,598,285
						-		_	-		_	•
	-		-	_		-		•	~		-	973,428
	-		••	-		-		-	-		-	980,941
	•		-	-		-		·	-		-	-
	54,246		97,011	1,755,967		8,460		5,407,842	388,000)	195,943	111,000 9,439,620
	,-		•	, ,		·		. ,				
			+	w		•		-	~		-	-
	-		-	*		<u>-</u>		-	-		-	-
	54,246		97,011	1,755,967		8,460		5,407,842	388,000)	195,943	 11,504,989
	(20,644)		84,087	4,090		(2,642)	1,910	(173,356	<u>) </u>		 1,093,296
												4
•	(19,992)	1	-	,,		_		(3.03.0)	b		*	(1,094,740
	**		-	(4,090)	}	•		(1,910)	-		-	(21,240
	(19,992))		(4,090)	-	<u> </u>	(1,910)	_			 (1,115,980
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								
	(40,636))	84,087	•		(2,642		***	(173,356	6)	~	(22,684
2,	299,153		2,636,531	422,799		2,004,722			112,325	5		 15,217,645
	258,517	s	2,720,618	\$ 422,799	\$	2,002,080	\$		\$ (61,03)			\$ 15,194,96

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Continued For the Ten Months ended December 31, 2011 and Twelve Months ended March 31, 2011 for City and Township respectively

	4 1		·	Special	Rev	enue.	
	Town		-	General ssistance	Т	Total ownship Funds	 Total Special Revenue
Revenues					_		
Taxes	\$ 299,	84	\$	978,529	\$	1,277,713	\$ 4,090,262
Special assessments				~			•
Intergovernmental	62,			-		62,910	9,799,200
Investment income		52		1,581		1,933	(18,280)
SSI reimbursement		•		23,205		23,205	23,205
Medical reimbursement				2,398		2,398	2,398
Miscellaneous				*		_	69,659
Total Revenues	362,	46		1,005,713		1,368,159	 13,966,444
Expenditures Current							
General management and support	566,	324		1,066,729		1,633,553	1,633,553
Public safety	,	_				-	973,428
Public works				_		_	980,941
Health and human resource development		_					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Recreation and cultural opportunities		_					111,000
Housing and economic development				-		ų.	9,439,620
Debt service							5,105,020
Principal				, ,		_	_
Interest				-		_	_
Capital outlay		-		_		,	
Total Expenditures	566	824		1,066,729		1,633,553	 13,138,542
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						7	
Over (Under) Expenditures	(204	378)		(61,016)		(265,394)	 827,902
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Transfers in (out)							
General		-		-		-	(1,094,740)
General Obligation Debt Service		-		-		-	(21,240)
Motor Vehicle Parking System							
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)				-		MT	 (1,115,980)
Net Change in Fund Balances	(204	378)		(61,016)		(265,394)	(288,078)
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Beginning	507	•		1,594,035		2,101,242	 17,318,887
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Ending	\$ 302	829	\$	1,533,019	_\$_	1.835,848	\$ 17,030,809

						Debt Service	****		····			
5	Special	Southwest		Howard Hartrey		ashington National		Howard Ridge	F	West Evanston		
	Service	Tax		Tax	Tax		Tax			Tax		Total
1	District	Increment	I	ncrement]	ncrement	I	ncrement	Ŀ	ncrement		Debt
	No.5	District		District		District		District		District		Service
\$	263,566	\$ 212,489	\$	641,140	\$	3,326,012	\$	479,036	\$	352,740 \$		5,274,983
	₩	••				_				**		
		-						-		••		-
	34	31		1,826		6,708		100		2,285		10,984
	-	-		-		•		~		-		-
	-	-		**		-		17,618		**		147 610
	263,600	212,520		642,966		3,332,720		496,754		355,025		17,618 5,303,585
		THE STATE OF THE S								200,022		2,202,202
	-			1,200		-		.		•••		1,200
	•	-		-		***		-		•		
	-	700,000		-		108,129		•		<u>.</u>		108,129
	-	700,000		-		-		•		•		700,000
	-	-				5,083		27,551		63,875		96,509
	-	-		570,000		325,000		*		~		895,000
	53,853	-		141,058		118,200		-		-		313,111
	-							-				
	53,853	700,000		712,258	····	556,412		27,551		63,875		2,113,949
•••••	209,747	(487,480)		(69,292)		2,776,308		469,203		291,150		3,189,636
	-	(24,100)		(141,600)		(325,000)		(120,400)		(50,000)		(661,100
		·		(-·-,···)		(202,200)		(*****)		(50,000)		(001,100
						(3,419,636)				-		(3,419,636
	-	(24,100)		(141,600)		(3,744,636)		(120,400)		(50,000)		(4,080,736
	209,747	(511,580)		(210,892)		(968,328)		348,803		241,150		(891,100
	238,422	397,497	*********	5,211,765		9,162,676		751,950		1,254,443		17,016,753
\$	448,169	\$ (114,083)	\$_	5,000,873	\$	8,194,348	S	1,100,753	\$	1,495,593	5	16,125,653

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP 1301 W 22nd St, Ste 400 Oak Brook, IL 60523-3389 tel 630 990 3131 fax 630 990 0039 bakertilly.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICTS

To Honorable Elizabeth B. Tisdahl, Mayor and Members of the City Council Evanston, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Evanston as of and for the ten months ended December 31, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated June 26, 2012. The financial statements are the responsibility of the management of the City of Evanston. Our responsibility is to express opinions on the financial statements based upon our audit.

Our audit was made in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to the City of Evanston is the responsibility of the City of Evanston's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements, we performed tests of the City of Evanston's compliance with provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of Public Act 85-1142, "An Act in Relation to Tax Increment Financing." However, the objective of our audit of the financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such provisions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests indicate that, with respect to the items tested, the City of Evanston complied, in all material respects, with Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of Public Act 85-1142.

L. Vuchow Kranse, LLP

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City Council, management, the State of Illinois, and others within the City and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Oak Brook, Illinois June 26, 2012

