Water and Sewer Fund Presentation

Evanston City Council April 12, 2010

Introduction

Sewer and water funds face many challenges
 Significant infrastructure needs from deferred maintenance

- Declining water sales have reduced incoming revenues
- Need additional revenues and/or debt restructuring
- Evanston is not alone and many providers face the same issues

Agenda

Sewer Fund
Water Fund
Wholesale Water Customers
Public Outreach
Alternative Energy Sources

Sewer Fund

Sewer Infrastructure Needs

Completed the initial long range sewer plan

- Built a new relief sewer to handle stormwater
 did NOT address existing sewer system
- Constructed over 18 years
- Cost \$210 M

Many significant rain events in past 3 years have resulted in few basement backups due to the sewer system surcharging

Combined Sewer System



Sewer Spending Breakdown-10/11



Sewer Spending Breakdown-17/18



Total FY17/18 Sewer Projection is \$11,746,478

Sewer Rate History

Last sewer rate increase in 2004
 Based on current rate, (\$3.94/100 cu ft) average Single Family Residence is paying \$417.64/year (\$1.14/day)

Sewer Rate History

- Historically, sewer rates were established to pay the debt service on the long range sewer program based on projected water utilization
- Between 1990 and 2000, the sewer rate was reviewed and adjusted annually based on actual costs for O&M, capital, and debt service
- This process resulted in unpredictable (often very large) rate increases being enacted on an almost annual basis
- In 2000 an ordinance was passed to stabilize the rate increases for the next 4 years

Sewer Rate History

Ordinance 12-O-00 established the following proposed sewer rates:

Beginning 3/01/00 Beginning 3/01/01 Beginning 3/01/02 \$3.75/100 cu ft Beginning 3/01/03

\$3.10/100 cu ft \$3.41/100 cu ft \$4.13/100 cu ft

Ordinance 13-O-03 established the following proposed sewer rates: \$3.75/100 cu ft Beginning 3/01/03 Beginning 3/01/04 \$3.94/100 cu ft Beginning 3/01/05 \$4.13/100 cu ft

The proposed rate increase on 3/01/05 was postponed pending the results of a cost of service study

Ordinance 94-O-05 established the sewer rate at \$3.94/100 cu ft as of 8/31/05

Usage History

- The 2005 rate was based on the estimated water usage of 4,135,800 CCF (100 cu ft)
- Actual water usage has been substantially less than projected – the 2009 and 2010 budgets were based on estimated water usage of 3,600,000 CCF
- Actual water usage in FY09/10 was 3,363,700 CCF
- This is 19% below the 2005 usage level. In order to maintain revenues, a 19% rate increase would have been needed

Usage History

Since 1998...

- Evanston's water usage has decreased more than 16%
- Skokie's water usage has decreased 26%
- NWC's water usage has decreased by 13% while the population served has increased by 11%
- CMAP indicates that Chicago's consumption has decreased 18% since 1990 with a concurrent population growth of 24%

Evanston Water Consumption



Existing Sewer Fund Shortfall

Malcolm Pirnie completed a cost of service study in 2008 indicating rate increases were needed to cover operating and debt service

Sewer rate was not adjusted

Water sales declined below the projected amounts

The current FY10/11 budget projects the sewer fund balance to be below \$200,000 by the end of this budget year

Based on actual FY09/10 revenues and FY10/11 budget, the sewer fund balance will have a \$1M deficit at the end of the current budget year

Sewer Fund – Actual vs. Projected

	As Shown Bu	in FY 10/11 udget	Based on Actual Water Sales as of 2/28/10		
	FY 09/10 Estimated	FY 09/10 FY 10/11 Estimated Proposed		FY 10/11 Proposed	
CCF Water Sold	3,600,000	3,600,000	3,363,728	3,600,000	
Revenue	\$14,640,377	\$14,288,000	\$13,622,967	\$14,288,000	
Expenses	\$2,914,557	\$3,292,224	\$3,127,797	\$3,292,224	
Debt Service	\$14,054,700	\$14,215,356	\$14,102,111	\$14,215,356	
Total Expenses	\$16,969,257	\$17,507,580	\$17,229,908	\$17,507,580	
Beginning Fund Balance	\$5,735,564	\$3,406,684	\$5,735,564	\$2,128,622	
Ending Fund Balance	\$3,406,684	\$187,104	\$2,128,622	(\$1,090,958)	

Options for Balancing the Sewer Fund

Based on lower usage levels in 2009-10, the 2010-11 budget requires either a rate increase of at least 8% or a bond issue of \$1.1 M

In future years, more bonds must be issued and/or a rate increase will be needed to reach 2013 when existing debt begins to retire

Sewer Revenue Increase – Option S1

\$12M in bonds over three years with debt service paid from the Sewer Fund
The debt service on these bonds will be \$963,000 annually for 20 years
Three annual rate increases of 6%, 7% and 8% beginning on January 1, 2011

Sewer Budget – Option S1

Carola State	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
CCF Water	3,600,000	3,250,000	3,200,000	3,150,000	3,100,000	3,050,000
Sewer Rate	\$3.94	\$4.18	\$4.47	\$4.83	\$4.83	\$4.83
Rate Increase	- 18	6%	7%	8%		-
Revenues	\$13.3 M	\$13.7 M	\$14.4 M	\$15.3 M	\$15.1 M	\$14.8 M
New Bonds	\$3.0 M	\$4.0 M	\$5.0 M			-
Total Revenue	\$17.3 M	\$17.7 M	\$19.4 M	\$15.3 M	\$15.1 M	\$14.8 M
Expenses	\$3.3 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.7 M	\$3.7 M	\$3.8 M
Exist. Debt Service	\$14.2 M	\$14.2 M	\$14.1 M	\$11.4 M	\$9.7 M	\$9.3 M
New Debt Service		\$0.2 M	\$0.6 M	\$1.0 M	\$1.0 M	\$1.0 M
Total Expenses	\$17.5 M	\$18.0 M	\$18.3 M	\$16.1 M	\$14.4 M	\$14.1 M
Unrestricted Fund Balance	\$2.1 M	\$1.8 M	\$2.9 M	\$2.2 M	\$2.8 M	\$3.6 M 19

Sewer Revenue Increase – Option S2

- \$10M in bonds over three years with debt service paid from a property tax levy to the General Fund
- The debt service on these bonds will be \$803,000 annually for 20 years
- Three annual rate increases of 6%, 7% and 8% beginning on January 1, 2011

Sewer Budget – Option S2

Carlos and	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
CCF Water	3,600,000	3,250,000	3,200,000	3,150,000	3,100,000	3,050,000
Sewer Rate	\$3.94	\$4.18	\$4.47	\$4.83	\$4.83	\$4.25
Rate Increase	-	6%	7%	8%		-12%
Revenues	\$13.3 M	\$13.7 M	\$14.4 M	\$15.3 M	\$15.1 M	\$13.1 M
New Bonds	\$3.0 M	\$4.0 M	\$3.0 M			
Total Revenue	\$17.3 M	\$17.7 M	\$17.4 M	\$15.3 M	\$15.1 M	\$13.1 M
Expenses	\$3.3 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.7 M	\$3.8 M	\$3.8 M
Exist. Debt Service	\$14.2 M	\$14.2 M	\$14.1 M	\$11.4 M	\$9.7 M	\$9.3 M
Total Expenses	\$17.5 M	\$17.8 M	\$17.7 M	\$15.1 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.1 M
Unrestricted Fund Balance	\$2.1 M	\$2.0 M	\$1.7 M	\$1.9 M	\$3.5 M	\$3.5 M
GF Property Tax Levy		\$0.24 M 0.6%	\$0.56 M 1.4%	\$0.8 M 2.0%	\$0.8 M 2.0%	\$0.8 M 2 ¹ .0%

Sewer Revenue Increase – Option S3

- \$17 M in bonds over 4 years with debt service paid from a property tax levy to the General Fund
- The debt service on these bonds will be \$1,364,000 annually for 20 years
 No sewer rate increase

Sewer Budget – Option S3

and a star	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
CCF Water	3,600,000	3,250,000	3,200,000	3,150,000	3,100,000	3,050,000
Sewer Rate	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$3.94
Rate Increase				-		
Revenues	\$14.3 M	\$12.9 M	\$12.7 M	\$13.3 M	\$12.3 M	\$12.1 M
New Bonds	\$5.0 M	\$4.0 M	\$4.0 M	\$3.0 M		
Total Revenue	\$18.3 M	\$16.9 M	\$17.7 M	\$16.6 M	\$12.3 M	\$12.1 M
Expenses	\$3.3 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.6 M	\$3.7 M	\$3.8 M	\$3.8 M
Exist. Debt Service	\$14.2 M	\$14.2 M	\$14.1 M	\$11.4 M	\$9.7 M	\$9.3 M
Total Expenses	\$17.5 M	\$17.8 M	\$17.7 M	\$15.1 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.1 M
Unrestricted Fund Balance	\$3.1 M	\$2.3 M	\$2.3 M	\$3.7 M	\$2.6 M	\$1.6 M
GF Property Tax Levy		\$0.4 M 1.0%	\$0.72 M 1.8%	\$1.0 M 2.5%	\$1.3 M 3.2%	\$1.3 M 3.2%

5-Year Impact of Sewer Charge to Single Family Residence

	Additional Sewer Rate Charges	Additional Property Tax Charges	Total Additional Costs	Cost/Year
Option 1	\$372	\$0	\$372	\$74
Option 2	\$309	\$160	\$469	\$94
Option 3	\$0	\$234	\$234	\$47

Additional property tax costs are based on a residence with a current total tax bill of \$10,000 and are for the total amount of bonds in that option.

Sewer Rate Comparison

- Communities bill on different units and rate structures
- To make a fair comparison, all sewer rates have been converted to Cost/1,000 gallons
 Evanston Rate: \$3.94/100 cu ft = \$5.27/1,000 gal \$4.83/100 cu ft = \$6.46/1,000 gal (\$1 or \$2)

Sewer Rate Comparison

Community	Sewer Rate/1000 gal		Community	Sewer Rate/1000 gal	
Highland	\$0.45 + \$6.00 monthly		Deerfield	\$3.40	
Park	fee		Palatine	\$3.94	
Buffalo Grove	\$0.60	VIEW 77	(outside village)		
Northbrook	\$0.80		Arlington Heights	\$4.36	
Glencoe	\$0.92		Lincolnshire	<u>ቀር 1ን</u>	
Wheeling	\$1.15		(in village)	φ υ. τζ	
Lake Forest	\$1.16		Evanston	\$5.27	
Wilmette	\$1.34		Lincolnshire	\$5.63	
Chicago	\$1.73		(outside	45155	
Palatine	\$2.42		village)		
(in village)					

Property Tax Levy Issues

 Residents can realize a tax benefit from the addition of this fee on the property tax instead of as a rate increase
 Consider levying an additional reasonable charge on the sewer bill to not-for-profit customers

Reasonable Charge for Not-for-Profit Customers

- Focus on largest 25% 30% of these customers
- By increasing the sewer rate by 25% for this group, could potentially generate \$500,000 - \$600,000 annually

Water Fund

Water Spending Breakdown-10/11



Total FY10/11 Water Budget is \$20,087,061

Water Spending Breakdown-17/18



31

Water Rate History

Last water rate adjustment in 2006

Revenue neutral
Resulted in reduced average water bill for Single Family Residences

Last actual water rate increase in 1998
Based on current rate, average Single Family Residence is paying \$147.92/year (\$0.41/day)

Water 5-Yr CIP

Fiscal Year	Water Plant Capital Program	Distribution System Capital Program	Total Capital Spending
10/11	\$3,756,000	\$3,844,000	\$7.6M
11/12	\$1,637,000	\$3,250,000	\$4.9M
12/13	\$1,810,000	\$3,525,000	\$5.3M
13/14	\$3,085,000	\$3,600,000	\$6.7M
14/15	\$5,600,000	\$3,600,000	\$9.2M
Total	\$15,888,000	\$17,819,000	\$33.7M

Water Capital Summary

Capital Improvement
 Water Treatment Plant Improvements
 Based on the existing contract, NWC pays approximately 58% of cost of improvements as the assets are depreciated
 Distribution System Improvements

Paid for entirely by Evanston residents

Water Capital Summary

WTP Improvements Proposed 5 year CIP of \$15.9M SCADA Replacement Filter Rehabilitation Zebra Mussel Control System Flash Mix Replacement AMR System Replacement Concrete Rehabilitation **Distribution System Improvements** Proposed 5 year CIP of \$17.1M 1.5 miles of water main replacement per year

Water Main Improvement

- Funding: Approx. \$3.1M/year to replace 1.5 miles annually
- Water mains have an est. life of 100 yrs
- Evanston has 157 miles of water main
- 52 miles are over 100 years old
 - At 1.5 miles/year, 35 years to replace
- 39 miles are 80 to 99 years old
 - Start replacing in 2045 (115 to 134 yrs old)
 - At 1.5 miles/year, 26 years to replace
- Also upgrade mains for fire flow and to address maintenance problems

Water Main Improvements

Water Distribution Mains 000 000 000 000 N 2900 2700 2600 2500 2400 2300 2200 2100 2000 1900 1800 1700 1500 100+ years old (51.9 miles) 1400 1300 5400 80-99 years old (39.8 miles) 130 60-79 years old (14.5 miles) 1200 0-59 years old (51.3 miles) 1000 200 600 700 600 Railroad Water City Boundary <u>500</u> 400 9. W 300 1 inch equals 0.5 mile 200 City of 1:31,680 Evanston Geographic Information System Division 3 400 ŝ 8 00100 D01 8 terMainAge.mid 121212 12/29/2009 This map is provided "as is" without warranties of any kind. See www.cityofevanston.org/mapdisclaimers.html for more information.

The City of Evanston

Historical Funding of CIP

WTP Improvements

- Partially paid through annual revenues
- Partially paid through water bonds (current bonds expire in 2013)
- NWC pays approximately 58% as asset depreciates
- Distribution System Improvements
 - Paid through annual revenues
 - Issuing Debt for distribution system capital, which will continue for 100 years is not the optimal solution (needless interest costs in such a case)

Water Fund Trend

	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
Total Revenue	\$15.4 M	\$12.8 M	\$13.1 M	\$13.4 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.6 M
Total Expenses	\$20.1 M	\$17.7 M	\$18.3 M	\$20.0 M	\$23.9 M	\$22.2 M
Net (Deficit)	(\$4.7 M)	(\$4.9 M)	(\$5.2 M)	(\$6.6 M)	(\$10.4 M)	(\$8.6 M)

Water Revenue Increase – Option W1

\$18.1 M in bonds over four years with debt service paid from the Water Fund
The debt service on these bonds will be \$1.45 million annually for 20 years
Three annual rate increases of 10%, 5% and 3% beginning on January 1, 2011, Future adjustments needed, otherwise Water Fund goes negative in 2014

Water Budget – Option W1

Cate a state	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
CCF Water	3,600,000	3,250,000	3,200,000	3,150,000	3,100,000	3,050,000
Water Rate	\$1.52	\$1.67	\$1.75	\$1.80	\$1.80	\$1.80
Rate Increase	- 19	10%	5%	3%	0%	0%
Revenues	\$15.4 M	\$12.8 M	\$13.2 M	\$13.4 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.5 M
New Bonds	\$3.5 M	\$4.8 M	\$5.3 M	\$4.5 M	-	
Total Revenue	\$18.9 M	\$17.6 M	\$18.5 M	\$17.9 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.5 M
Expenses	\$12.5 M	\$12.8 M	\$12.9 M	\$13.3 M	\$13.4 M	\$13.8 M
Capital Improvement	\$7.6 M	\$4.9 M	\$5.3 M	\$6.7 M	\$9.2 M	\$8.5 M
New Debt Service		\$0.3 M	\$0.7 M	\$1.1 M	\$1.45 M	\$1.45 M
Total Expenses	\$20.1 M	\$18.0 M	\$18.9 M	\$21.1 M	\$24.0 M	\$23.7 M
Unrestricted Fund Balance	\$4.9 M	\$4.6 M	\$4.1 M	\$1.0 M	(\$9.5 M)	(\$19.6 M) 41

Water Revenue Increase – Option W2

- \$17 M in bonds over four years with debt service paid from a property tax levy to the General Fund
- The debt service on these bonds will be \$1,364,000 annually for 20 years
- Three annual rate increases of 10%, 5% and 3% beginning on January 1, 2011
- Future adjustments needed, otherwise Water Fund goes negative in 2014

Water Budget – Option W2

Cate a. S. S.	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
CCF Water	3,600,000	3,250,000	3,200,000	3,150,000	3,100,000	3,050,000
Water Rate	\$1.52	\$1.67	\$1.75	\$1.80	\$1.80	\$1.80
Rate Increase	-	10%	5%	3%		-
Revenues	\$15.4 M	\$12.8 M	\$13.2 M	\$13.4 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.5 M
New Bonds	\$3.5 M	\$3.5 M	\$5.0 M	\$5.0 M		-
Total Revenue	\$18.9 M	\$16.3 M	\$18.2 M	\$18.4 M	\$13.5 M	\$13.5 M
Expenses	\$12.5 M	\$12.8 M	\$12.9 M	\$13.3 M	\$13.4 M	\$13.7 M
Capital Improvement	\$7.6 M	\$4.9 M	\$5.3 M	\$6.7 M	\$9.2 M	\$8.5 M
Total Expenses	\$20.1 M	\$17.7 M	\$18.2 M	\$20.0 M	\$22.6 M	\$22.2 M
Unrestricted Fund Balance	\$4.9 M	\$3.5 M	\$3.5 M	\$1.9 M	(\$7.1 M)	(\$15.8 M)
GF Property Tax Levy	e	\$0.28 M 0.7%	\$0.56 M 1.4%	\$1.0 M 2.5%	\$1.0 M 2.5%	\$1.0 M 2.5%

5-Year Impact of Water Charge to Single Family Residence

	Additional Water Rate Charges	Additional Property Tax Charges	Total Additional Costs	Cost/Year
Option W1	\$231	\$0	\$231	\$46
Option W2	\$158	\$192	\$350	\$70

Water Rate Comparison

- Communities bill on different units and rate structures
- To make a fair comparison, all water rates have been converted to Cost/1,000 gallons
 Evanston Rate:

\$1.52/100 cu ft = \$2.03/1,000 gal \$1.80/100 cu ft = \$2.41/1,000 gal

Water Rate Comparison

Community	Water Rate/1000 gal	Community	Water Rate/1000 gal
Chicago	\$2.01	Glencoe	\$3.70
Highland	\$2.22	Lincolnshire	\$4.08
Park		Deerfield	\$4.14
Evanston	\$2.03 (current)	Gurnee	\$4.45
Buffalo Grove	\$2.40 +	Niles	\$4.59
de sentera	\$1.90 monthly fee	Wheeling	\$4.62
Evanston	\$2.41 (W1 or W2)	Arlington Heights	\$4.63
Wilmette	\$2.93	Morton	\$5.16
Northbrook	\$3.40	Grove	49.10
Lincolnwood	\$3.53	Lake Forest	\$6.26
Skokie	\$3.53	김 선생 정보	
Glenview	\$3.65		46

Water/Sewer Combined Rate Comparison

Community	Rate/1000 gal	Community	Rate/1000 gal
Highland	\$2.67 +	Niles	\$4.59
Park	\$6.00 monthly fee	Glencoe	\$4.62
Lincolnwood	\$3.53	Wheeling	\$5.77
Buffalo Grove	\$3.38 +	Evanston	\$7.30 (current)
	\$1.90 monthly fee	Lake Forest	\$7.42
Skokie	\$3.53	Deerfield	\$7.54
Glenview	\$3.65 +	<u>Svanstan</u>	¢7 69 (c2 9 M/1 (M/2)
Sandar B	\$6.87 monthly fee	Eveniscon	₽7.00 (55 & WL/WZ)
Chicago	\$3.74	Arlington	\$8.99
Northbrook	\$4.20	neights	
Wilmette	\$4.27	Lincolnshire	\$9.20

Not Just an Evanston Issue.....

- National problem of declining water use and increased maintenance needs
- City of Chicago just completed three annual rate increases of 15%, 15%, and 14%, to all of their wholesale customers
- DuPage Water Commission is enacting a 20% rate increase in May 2010
- Illinois American, after increasing rates 10% in 2008, has requested a 30% rate increase this year
- Many suburbs and wholesale water suppliers have had to enact substantial rate increases in the last two years

Sewer Fund Summary

Short-term cash flow problem until debt begins to retire in 2013
 If Option S3 selected, levy a reasonable charge to not-for-profit customers
 Complete a cost-of-service and fee study focusing on preferred solution(s) with recommendations presented in Fall 2010

Water Fund Summary

Water Fund

- Long-term revenue problem as current water usage continues to decline – revenue is not sufficient to meet minimum capital and operating costs
- Complete a cost-of-service and fee study focusing on preferred solution(s) with recommendations presented in Fall 2010
- In the long-term, investigate new long-term wholesale customer contracts

Wholesale Water Customers

Existing Wholesale Water Customers

Village of Skokie Became a wholesale customer in 1944 Latest agreement signed in 1997 20-yr term (expires in 2017) Currently paying \$0.9401/1000 gal Northwest Water Commission Became a wholesale customer in 1985 Latest agreement expires in 2030 +/- 5 years Currently paying on average \$0.5348/1000 gal

Existing Water Customers



Potential New Wholesale Water Customers – Short-term Outlook

- Use existing excess treatment plant capacity
- Minimal transmission system improvements
- Discussions with Skokie and the Northwest Water Commission about partnering
- Communities accessible through existing wholesale customers
- Action Items:
 - Complete a hydraulic analysis
 - Investigate existing wholesale water contracts of potential customers

Potential New Wholesale Water <u>Customers – Mid-term Outlook</u> Expansion of treatment plant capacity Installation of large diameter transmission main(s) Action Items: Hire attorney to negotiate contracts Contract with engineering firm to provide the planning needed to enter into contracts

Potential New Wholesale Water <u>Customers – Long-term Outlook</u> Significant expansion of treatment plant capacity Installation of water transmission tunnels

- Action Items:
 - Enter into discussions with large water distribution authorities to become source of supply
 - Enter into discussions with proximate neighboring communities

Long-term Water Sale Increases



Public Outreach

Public Outreach

Promote Evanston Tap Water Use
Water Conservation
Protection of the Water Supply

Public Outreach – Promote Evanston Tap Water

Earth Day Celebration – April 24

National Drinking Water Week – May 2 – 8

- Mayoral proclamation
- Farmer's Market Participation

National Public Works Week – May 16

Farmer's Market Participation

Green Living Festival – October 2



Public Outreach – Water Conservation

City of Evanston is an EPA WaterSense Partner

Provide information on website
 Fixing leaks
 WaterSense label



Public Outreach – Protection of Water Supply

Mandated by MS4 Permit to provide public outreach and information Public Outreach is done through website and by providing information at outreach events



Alternative Energy

Alternative Energy



Utilities (Electricity + Natural Gas) are 7% of the Budget

Alternative Energy – Potential Sources

Solar Power
Wind Power
Fuel Cell
Geothermal
Waste-to-Energy



Alternative Energy – Solar Power

Pilot Installation
 25 kW fixed solar panel
 Located on roof of Pumping Station
 Total Cost of \$182,954
 \$35,000 from EECBG
 \$109,772 from ICECF
 Estimated completion date of May 31
 ROI of 16 years

Alternative Energy – Solar – Future Expansion

- Install on Mixing and Sedimentation Basins
 Estimated Installation Size (based on pilot installation data):
 - Total potential capacity = 470 kW
 - Total cost = \$2.8M
 - Potential Next Steps
 - Verify structural capacity of basin roof structure
 - RFP to select provider of installation
 - Apply for grant funding (potential up to 50% - 60%)



Alternative Energy – Wind Power Options

Offshore wind power

- Can provide for significantly more energy than just water plant energy use
- Large wind turbines located on shoreline at water plant
 - Can provide approximately the energy use required by water plant
- Small wind turbines
 - Minimal power generation



Alternative Energy – Wind Power Options

- Purchase Renewable Energy Credits/ "Green" Power
- Long-term Contract with Offsite Power Generation Facility