

## Memorandum

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

Administration and Public Works Committee

From: Martin Lyons, Assistant City Manager / Chief Financial Officer

Lara Biggs, P.E., Bureau Chief – Capital Planning / City Engineer

Subject: Robert Crown Community Center, Ice Complex and Library

Architectural / Engineering Services Contract Award (RFQ 16-61)

Date: January 23, 2017

#### Recommended Action:

Staff recommends that City Council authorize the City Manager to execute a contract to provide architectural/engineering services for the Robert Crown Community Center, Ice Complex and Library with Woodhouse Tinucci Architects, LLC (230 W. Superior St., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Chicago, IL) in the amount of \$497,500. This fee is to provide the programming/investigation and schematic concept design services only.

### **Funding Source:**

Funding for this project will be provided from Capital Improvement Program (CIP) 2016 General Obligation Bonds (Account 415.40.4116.62145 – 616017) in the amount of \$600,000. Additional project funding is also available from the 2017 General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$900,000 to be utilized for future project costs.

#### Livability Benefits:

Built Environment: Enhance public spaces

Education Arts & Community: Incorporate art and cultural resources; Provide quality

education from cradle to career

Health & Safety: Promote healthy, active lifestyles

#### Background:

On August 18, 2016, the City issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to provide architectural/engineering services for the Robert Crown Community Center, Ice Complex and Library (RCCCL). The RFQ selection process occurs in two parts. In the first part, a firm is selected as "most qualified" based on qualifications and their understanding of the project. In the second part, a fee for services is negotiated with the selected firm. If it is not possible to reach an agreement satisfactory to both the City and the selected firm, negotiations are then begun with the next most qualified firm.

On December 12, 2016, the City Council approved the staff recommendation to find Woodhouse Tinucci Architects (WTA) to be the most qualified firm and to begin negotiation of an agreement with them.

#### Analysis:

Following City Council approval, staff commenced negotiations with WTA. Negotiations included multiple communications and meetings in which the final scope of the programming and concept design was finalized, along with WTA's fees to provide these services. Staff has concluded negotiations with WTA and has reached an agreement satisfactory to both parties.

Much of the discussion related to developing a detailed public outreach and stakeholder coordination plan necessary to the development of the concept design. The plan is roughly organized into two "rounds" of meetings. Round 1 focuses on meeting with stakeholders and the public to best understand the needs of the different users of the facility and to develop initial concepts. Round 2 meetings will be to show the initial concepts to the stakeholders and the public to receive additional feedback. WTA will then incorporate the feedback to finalize the concept design. A list of the proposed meetings and stakeholder groups is provided in the attached Public Engagement Plan.

Staff has reviewed both the scope of work and the fees proposed by WTA and has found them to be reasonable. During this negotiation process, WTA demonstrated a strong understanding of potential project issues and had many helpful ideas on how to manage an inclusive public involvement process, validating their selection as the most qualified firm. A table detailing the proposed fees is attached. WTA is utilizing our standard professional services agreement.

Staff recommends entering into a contract for Task 1 services only. Although the RFQ found WTA to be the most qualified firm to provide concept design, detailed design, and construction administration services, it would be difficult to negotiate fees for later phases of work at this time. This is particularly true for a project like the RCCCL where there might be substantial changes to the design of the building and site based on feedback received during the programming and concept development. For reference, WTA has submitted estimated fees for future phases based on their current understanding of the project. Because this RFQ addressed the architect/engineering qualifications for future phases of this project, staff may recommend award of this future work to WTA, depending on their level of performance.

WTA has proposed complying with the City's M/W/EBE program goal of 25% for Tasks 1 – 3 (programming through final design) by utilizing M/W/EBE subconsultants for 37% of this work. During Task 1 (currently under consideration), they are proposing 15% of M/W/EBE participation. For this project, the subconsulting firms are proposed to be much more involved during the detailed design development. A review of their compliance with M/W/EBE program goals is attached.

#### Attachments:

Task 1 Public Engagement Plan Project Fee Proposal M/W/EBE Participation Review Memo

SK I -	Schema	tic Design, Draft Public Engagem	ent Pian	
	No.	Meeting	Attendees	Purpose
				Set task 1 calendar, establish communication procedures, identify stakeholders
	1	Kick off meeting	Staff	verify project program
				Meet individually with stakeholder groups to identify/verify needs, review / clarify
_			Staff and	project program. Identify advisory committee membership (one person per
<u>б</u>	2	Stakeholder workshop #1	stakeholders	stakeholder group)
Д	3	Fundraiser meeting #1	Friends of Crown	Gather additional input from fundraising organizations on project program
ď				Review workshop results, discuss initial schematic documents, outline
eut	4	Review meeting #1	Staff	deliverables for advisory committee / public meetings
Ě				
age			Staff and stakeholder	Meet with representatives of each stakeholder group as a consolidated advisor
n:	5	Advisory Committee Meeting #1	representatives	committee to review / gather feedback regarding initial schematic documents
Public Engagement Round				Review advisory committee feedback received and refine schematic document
₫	6	Review meeting #2	Staff	for public presentation
٦	7	Public Meeting #1	Public	Present and gather feedback on schematic documents
				Provide updates to Parks and Rec Board as needed on progress and project
	8	Parks and Rec Board Update	Public	direction
				Provide updates to Council / Boards as needed on progress and project
	9	Council and Library Board Update	Public	direction
				Review results of first public meeting, identify refinements to schematic design
	10	Review meeting #3	Staff	and outline deliverables for advisory committee / public meetings
	11	Advisory Committee Meeting #2	Staff and stakeholder representatives	Review results of first public meeting, present modified schematic design and obtain feedback
und 2	12	Fundraiser meeting #2	Friends of Crown	Gather additional input from fundraising organizations on schematic design direction
nt Ro	13	Review meeting #4	Staff	Review advisory committee feedback received and refine schematic document for public presentation
шe	14	Public Meeting #2	Public	Present and gather feedback on refined schematic documents
ıgageı	15	Review meeting #5	Staff	Review results of second public meeting and outline refinements to finalize schematic design
Public Engagement Round	16	Interested City Committees / Ward Meetings	Public	Present final schematic design to committees / wards as needed
	17	Parks and Rec Board Approval	Public	Present final schematic design to Parks and Recreation Board for approval
		Council and Library Board		Present final schematic design to Council and Library Board for approval and
	18	Approval	Public	proceed to task 2
		ctor, Library Director, CFO, PM, PRC		
				d Residents, Alderman (#4 and #2), Pre-school, After-school, Baseball,
sketha	all, Friend	ds of Crown, Parks and Recreation B	oard, Library Board, Fo	ootball, District 65, ETHS, PTA Council, Roycemore School, Friends of the

Note: Future task schedules will be developed/finalized upon completion of the prior task.

# Robert Crown Center Evanston, Illinois

Robert Crown Community Cente	Robert Crown Community Center and Ice Complex Architectural / Engineering Services	ngineering	Services									
Fee Proposal - DRAFT												
			Task 1 Programming and	2	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3 Construction	Task 4	Task 5 Construction	Task 6 LEED		
Discipline	Consultant		Investigation		Schematic Design	Design Development	Documents	Negotiations	Administration	Commissioning	Total	<u>id</u>
Architecture	WTA+MJMA		\$ 45,000	\$ 000	210,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 425,000 \$	\$ 000'58	250,000 \$	40,000	\$ 1	1,255,000
Structural Engineering	Stearn-Joglekar M	MBE			15,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 000'06 \$	\$ 000'8			\$	180,000
MEP/FP	CCJM	MBE	\$	٠	8,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 120,000	10,000 \$	\$ 000'52	10,000	\$	298,000
MEP/FP + Ice	+Anderson		\$ 15,500	_	46,500		\$ 36,167 \$	\$			\$	138,983
Landscape Architecture	CDF		\$ 7,0	2,000 \$	15,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 35,000 \$	3,000	30,000	2,000	Ş	120,000
Civil Engineering		WBE		٠	8,000		45,000	2,000	20,000		٠ \$	111,000
Library Design Specialist	WTA+MJMA		incl above		incl above	inclabove	incl above	incl above	incl above	incl above		incl above
A/V Systems Specialist	Arup			\$	2,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 18,000 \$	\$ -	2,000		\$	43,000
LEED Accreditation Specialist	WTA+MJMA			1,000 \$	3,000				\$	25,000	\$	29,000
Cost Estimating Specialist	McHugh		\$	٠	12,500	\$ 12,500	\$ 25,000				\$	20,000
Public Art Consultation	TBD/WTA+MJMA				\$5,000	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			TBD
Total Fee	Base Scope Consultants		\$ 78,500	\$ 009	328,000	\$ 463,167	\$ 794,167 \$	\$ 000'95	412,400 \$	97,750	\$ 2	2,224,983
				∽	406,500							
Land Surveyor	ā	WBE			•						<b>ب</b>	20,000
Geotecnnical Engineering		1A/DE	72,000	۸ 3	•						<b>Λ</b> •	25,000
Environmental Engineering	& ASSOC	DE.		4				4			ሉ ‹	000,8
3rd Party LEED Commissioning	Grumman/ Butkus EBE	ŠE.		Λ.	2,000	000,5	5 20,000	Λ.	72,000		٨	000,55
Total Fee	Non-Standard Services		\$ 45,000	\$ 000	2,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 28,000 \$	_	\$ 25,000 \$		\$	108,000
				÷	20,000							
Accissic Specialist	2114		v	·	000	000 a	000 a	v	000 3		v	000 90
Lighting Consultant	(ustner Lighting	FRF/WRF	· •	_	000,5			* •	-		· •	50,000
Sports Field Specialist		1		3,000 \$	8,000			\$ 5			· •	39,000
Sports Lighting	Musco				inc						\$	
Food Service	S20 Consultants	WBE	\$	δ.	1						\$	•
Total Fee	Value-Add Consultants		3.0	3,000 \$	18,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 38,000 \$	_ •	21.000 \$	•	•∽	115,000
				_	21,000							
							$\vdash$					
TOTAL FEE			\$ 126,500		351,000	\$ 503,167	\$ 860,167 \$	\$ 000'95	458,400 \$	05/,750	\$ 2	2,447,983
				\$	477,500						,	1
Expenses				S	20,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 000'5 \$	2,500 \$	10,000 \$	2,500	s	20,000
	Total Consultant Fee			₩.	222,500	\$ 253,167	\$ 435,167 \$	21,000 \$	208,400 \$	57,750	\$	1,192,983
	Total Consultant %			-	46 60%	50 31%	%b5 U5	37 50%	45,46%	%8U 65		48 73%
	MBE/WBE/EBE Fee			·s	71,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 000'808 \$	18,000 \$	150,000 \$	20,000	Ş	722,000
	MBE/WBE/EBE %				14.87%	31.80%	35.23%	32.14%	32.72%	20.46%		29.49%
NOTES:		:										
1. Fees include consideration and	<ol> <li>Fees include consideration and development of construction phasing options as necessary</li> </ol>	ng options	as necessary	_								



## Memorandum

To: Martin Lyons, Assistant City Manager / Chief Financial Officer

Lara Biggs, P.E. Bureau Chief – Capital Planning / City Engineer

From: Tammi Nunez, Purchasing Manager

Subject: Robert Crown Community Center Ice Complex and Library

Architectural / Engineering Services Contract Award, RFQ 16-61

Date: January 23, 2017

The goal of the Minority, Women and Evanston Business Enterprise Program (M/W/EBE) is to assist such businesses with opportunities to grow. In order to help ensure such growth, the City's goal is to have general contractors utilize M/W/EBEs to perform no less than 25% of the awarded contract. With regard to the recommendation for Robert Crown Community Center Ice Complex and Library Architectural/Engineering Services Contract Award, RFQ 16-61, WTA.'s total base bid during task 1 is \$497,500.00, and they will receive 14.2% credit for compliance towards the initial M/W/EBE goal.

Name of M/W/EBE	Scope of Work	Contract Amount	%	MBE	WBE	EBE
Stearn-Joglekar 223 W Jackson Blvd Chicago, IL 60606	Structural Engineering	\$25,000.00	5%	Х		
CCIM 430 N Michigan Ave Ste. 800 Chicago, IL 60611	Mechanical Engineering	\$8,000.00	1.6%	Х		
Terra Engineering 225 W Ohio St., Ste. 400 Chicago, IL 60654	Civil Engineering	\$28,000.00	5.6%		Х	
Grumman/Butkus 820 Davis St., Ste. 300, Evanston, IL 60201	3 <sup>rd</sup> Party LEED Commission	\$5,000.00	1%			X
Ann Kustner Lighting 900 Isabella Street Evanston, IL 60201	Lighting Consultant	\$5,000.00	1%			Х
Total M/W/EBE		\$71,000.00	14.2%			